

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	8:10CR334
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
JAVIER SANTIAGO-CARBAJAL,	)	
JOSE ESTEBAN HERNANDEZ-VILLALBA,	)	
RAMON MACIAS-ESPINOZA and	)	
JUAN PABLO MANCILLAS-RAMIREZ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court on the oral motion of defendant Juan Pablo Mancillas-Ramirez (Mancillas-Ramirez) for an extension of the pretrial motion deadline. The motion was made during the arraignment on the Indictment on September 27, 2010. The government had no objection to the motion. Counsel for the remaining defendants had no objection. Mancillas-Ramirez acknowledged the additional time needed for his motion would be excluded under the calculations under the Speedy Trial Act. The oral motion was granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Mancillas-Ramirez's motion for an extension of time to file pretrial motions is granted. All defendants shall have **to on or before November 15, 2010**, in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order.
2. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **from September 27, 2010, through November 15, 2010**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 27th day of September, 2010.

BY THE COURT:  
s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge